

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/043,493 | 01/14/2002 | John D. Polk | 06556.0039 | 9208 | |
| 22852 FINNEGAN I | 7590 01/28/200 HENDERSON, FARAE | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| ULP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 | | | CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3694 | • | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/28/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/043,493 | POLK ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MARY CHEUNG | 3694 | | |

| | MARY CHEUNG | 3694 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exhunder 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any pely received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| | t prior to the date of filling a brief | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | be entered and an e | planation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | |
| | /Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3694 | |

Continuation of 13. Other: the terminal disclaimer filed is disapproved by paralegal Felicia D. Roberts because more than 10 practitioners listed on the POA/OATH.